Optimization for Machine Learning

Xiao Wang

Shanghai University of Finance and Economics

April 15, 2021



Contents

▶ Optimization for Neural Network

XW

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

- Convex Optimization
- Non-convex Optimization
- ► Examples
- Constrained Optimization
- ▶ Further Topics

Supervised Learning Problem:

Let \mathcal{K} be a set (e.g. images, texts), with $F : \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ a function. Suppose that the values of F are known only on a proper finite set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{K}$. Can we predict the values of F(x) for $x \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \mathcal{S}$?

Supervised Learning Problem:

Let \mathcal{K} be a set (e.g. images, texts), with $F : \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ a function. Suppose that the values of F are known only on a proper finite set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{K}$. Can we predict the values of F(x) for $x \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \mathcal{S}$?



-

Definition The function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{n_0} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_q}$

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n_0} \xrightarrow{T_1} \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \xrightarrow{h_1} \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \xrightarrow{T_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{T_q} \mathbb{R}^{n_q} \xrightarrow{h_q} \mathbb{R}^{n_q}$$

is called a Feed-forward Neural Network.



Definition

The function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{n_0} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_q}$

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n_0} \xrightarrow{T_1} \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \xrightarrow{h_1} \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \xrightarrow{T_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{T_q} \mathbb{R}^{n_q} \xrightarrow{h_q} \mathbb{R}^{n_q}$$

is called a Feed-forward Neural Network.

 \blacktriangleright T_j are affine maps of the form

$$T_j(x) = A_j x + b_j,$$

The weight matrix A_j and bias vector b_j represent the map from one layer to another;



 \blacktriangleright h_i are the activation functions that are typically chosen from

$$\left\{\max\{0,x\}, \left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{-1}\right\}$$



 $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{W}$

Training

For a neural network ϕ with fixed architecture, ϕ is determined by the parameters $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, ..., A_q), \mathbf{b} = (b_1, ..., b_q)$, provided a given set of activation functions;



Training

- For a neural network ϕ with fixed architecture, ϕ is determined by the parameters $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, ..., A_q), \mathbf{b} = (b_1, ..., b_q)$, provided a given set of activation functions;
- ▶ To train the network, we choose, for example, the mean-square loss function:

$$L(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) = \sum_{x \in S} \|F(x) - \phi(x, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})\|^2$$

and minimize such L using gradient descent.



Example: ReLU network with one hidden layer

- Activation function: $h(x) = \max\{0, x\};$
- ▶ Input dimension: 3;
- ▶ Width of hidden layer: 4;
- Weight matrix $W = \{w_i\}_{i=1}^4$: 4 is the number of neurons;
- ▶ Bias vector: $b = (b_1, ..., b_4)$

 $z_i = w_i^\top \mathbf{x} + b_i \to h(z_i) \to \hat{y} = (h(z_1), ..., h(z_4)) \text{ or } \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i h(z_i) \to \text{Output.}$



xw

- 34

A D K A D K A D K A D K

Cont. with example

Suppose that the NNW is of the form $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i h(w_i^{\top} \mathbf{x} + b_i)$, and we are given *n* samples from observation: $\{(\mathbf{x}_k, y_k)\}_{k=1}^n$, where y_i 's are called "labels". By "Learning/Training", we mean to minimize the loss function

$$L(W, b, a) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\phi(\mathbf{x}_k) - y_k)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i h(w_i^{\top} \mathbf{x}_k + b_i) \right) - y_k \right)^2$$

Cont. with example

Suppose that the NNW is of the form $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i h(w_i^{\top} \mathbf{x} + b_i)$, and we are given *n* samples from observation: $\{(\mathbf{x}_k, y_k)\}_{k=1}^n$, where y_i 's are called "labels". By "Learning/Training", we mean to minimize the loss function

$$L(W, b, a) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\phi(\mathbf{x}_k) - y_k)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i h(w_i^{\top} \mathbf{x}_k + b_i) \right) - y_k \right)^2$$

Reference for one hidden layer NNW: https://cs230.stanford.edu/files/C1M3.pdf (by Andrew Ng).

______XW < □ > < 畳 > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ → <<

Gradient Descent

Definition

Let $f(\mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function, the Gradient Descent algorithm is

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$$



Gradient Descent

Definition

Let $f(\mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function, the Gradient Descent algorithm is

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$$

Continuous time couterpart

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = -\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$$



Gradient Descent

Definition

Let $f(\mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function, the Gradient Descent algorithm is

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$$

Continuous time couterpart

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = -\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$$

Both dynamical systems "stop" at \mathbf{x}^* where $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$.



Convex Function

Definition

 $f(\mathbf{x})$ is convex if the domain is a convex set and for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(t\mathbf{x} + (1-t)\mathbf{y}) \le tf(\mathbf{x}) + (1-t)f(\mathbf{y})$$

 or

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \ge f(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^{\top} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})$$



Minimizing Convex Functions

Lemma

Let f be differentiable and convex, \mathbf{x}^* is a minimizer if and only if $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$. A continuously differentiable function f is L-smooth if its gradient is L-Lipschitz,

$$\left\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\right\| \le L \left\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\right\|.$$

It is often required that $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{L}!!!$ The minimizer \mathbf{x}^* is unique and gradient descent is guaranteed to converges to \mathbf{x}^* if step size $\alpha < \frac{1}{L}$.



Many functions are non-convex, e.g. the loss function of neural network. A non-convex function might have multiple local minima, local maxima, and saddle points.

Many functions are non-convex, e.g. the loss function of neural network. A non-convex function might have multiple local minima, local maxima, and saddle points.

Definition

- A point \mathbf{x}^* is critical point of f if $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$;
- ► A critical point \mathbf{x}^* of f is a saddle point if for all neighborhood U around \mathbf{x}^* there are $\mathbf{y} \in U$ such that $f(\mathbf{z}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq f(\mathbf{y})$;

XW

► A critical point \mathbf{x}^* of f is strict saddle if $\lambda_{\min}(\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^*)) < 0$

Non-convex Function



XW

Non-convex Function

Gradient Descent avoids strict saddle points

- ▶ With mild assumptions, the initial conditions s.t. GD converges to a saddle point lie on a set of measure zero. (Lee et al. 2016, 2019, Panageas and Piliouras 2017, Panageas et al. 2019)
- ▶ By adding perturbation properly,

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \alpha(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) + \xi_t), \quad \xi_t \sim \text{noise}$$

GD can escape from saddle point efficiently. (Ge et al. 2015, Jin et al. 2017, 2019)

Reference: Lecture notes by Ioannis Panageas for course CS 295 at UC Irvine. https://panageas.github.io/teaching/

Examples

► Solving linear systems:

$$A\mathbf{x} = b$$

Find \mathbf{x}^* such that $||A\mathbf{x} - b||^2$ is minimized.

► Matrix factorization:

$$V = WH$$

where V is a given $n \times m$ matrix, W is $n \times r$, and H is $r \times m$. Find W^* and H^* so that the Frobenius norm $||V - W^*H^*||_F^2$ is minimized.



Non-negative Matrix Factorization (NMF)

Background

Lee and Seung, Nature, 1999:

"We have applied non-negative matrix factorization (NMF), together with principal component analysis (PCA) and vector quantization (VQ), to a database of facial images. ... The NMF basis is radically different: its images are localized features that correspond better with intuitive notions of the parts of faces."



・ロット (日) (日) (日) (日)

\mathbf{NMF}



NMF

~



×





xw

NMF



 XW

 イロト イ団ト イミト イミト ミ シ へへ

Global daily temperature (10.512 points x 20.440 days)



 \mathbf{XW}

э

NMF

Problem

In NMF, we are asked to decompose a non-negative data matrix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}_+$ into the product of two non-negative matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}_+$ and $H \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times m}_+$. In optimization viewpoint, we try to solve the minimization problem

$$\min_{W,H} F(W,H) = \|V - WH\|_F^2,$$

where $||A||_F^2 = \sum A_{ij}^2$ is the Frobenius norm.

- ▶ Vectorizing W and H, F(W, H) is a non-convex function;
- \blacktriangleright Non-negative constraint, i.e., W and H are vectors in the non-negative orthant.

XW

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > の Q @

Projected Gradient Descent

The previous session concerns about *unconstrained optimization problems*, i.e. the domain of f is the whole \mathbb{R}^n . But there are problems like NMF which has constraints. In this subsection we discuss how to solve constrained optimization problem:

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}}f(\mathbf{x}),$

with Projected Gradient Descent.



Projected Gradient Descent

The previous session concerns about *unconstrained optimization problems*, i.e. the domain of f is the whole \mathbb{R}^n . But there are problems like NMF which has constraints. In this subsection we discuss how to solve constrained optimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}}f(\mathbf{x}),$$

with Projected Gradient Descent.

Definition

The projection of a point \mathbf{y} , onto a set \mathcal{X} is defined as the nearest point in the set to \mathbf{y} .

$$P_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{y}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2.$$

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function in come convex set \mathcal{X} . The Projected gradient descent is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = P_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{x}_t - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)).$$

Ending

Further topics

- Stochastic Gradient Descent;
- MinMax Optimization;
- ► Game Theory;
- ▶ Optimization on Manifold.

Reading materials

- Notes for "Optimization for Machine Learning" by Chi Jin (Princeton), https://sites.google.com/view/cjin/ee539cos512
- ▶ Game Theory, by Tim Roughgarden (Columbia), http://timroughgarden.org/

XW

 Optimization on Manifold, by Nicolas Boumal (EPFL), http://sma.epfl.ch/ nboumal/

Thank You!

